

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudomark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D C 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4442 AMIR M. SAFFARIAN 70-96-005 03:27:1997 08/828,022

> 04/19/2002 7590

L. JOY GRIEBENOW ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION 5400 LEGACY DRIVE. H3-3A-05 PLANO, TX 75024

EXAMINER FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/828,022 SAFFARIAN, AMIR M. Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 2876 Jamara A. Franklin

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

conditi	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
nave bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any leatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	$oxed{\times}$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3. 🗌 🛚	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: none.
	Claim(s) objected to: none.
	Claim(s) rejected: 19, 22, 25, and 26.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MICHAEL G. LEE
10.	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that the Wing, Schultz, and Hitchcock references read upon the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 19, 22, 25, and 26 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of Paper No. 27.